

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,383	08/19/2003	George Eckerdt	23712/111	6216
Nixon Peabody	7590 04/08/200 LLP	EXAMINER		
Clinton Square P.O. Box 31051 Rochester, NY 14603-1051			BATES, KEVIN T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/644,383	ECKERDT, GEORGE	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	KEVIN BATES	2153	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. - after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statul Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 F</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-51 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-51 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompanies and applicant may not request that any objection to the	awn from consideration. or election requirement. er. cepted or b) objected to by the I		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Response to Amendment

This Office Action is in response to a communication received on July 12, 2007.

Claims 46-51 have been newly added.

Claim 43 has been amended.

Claims 1-45 are currently pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-42 and 46-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maloney (6317044) (Applicant's IDS) in view of Shniberg (6801245).

Regarding claims 1, 15, and 29, Maloney teaches an asset management system (Column 10, lines 11 – 14) comprising one or more stations for receiving a tangible asset and a server system in each of the stations (Column 10, lines 11 – 15; Column 10, lines 34 – 37, where the remote computer is the station that contains a peripheral for receiving the tangible assets and has a server program on it to manage those assets), wherein the server system stores information regarding tangible asset transactions between the stations and the tangible assets in the asset management system (Figure 37E, step 660) and wherein the server system in each of the stations

independently determines whether authorization to access the station is permitted (Column 22, lines 25 – 34).

Maloney does not explicitly indicate a communication medium allows the asset management system to be accessed remotely via the communication medium by the server system.

Shniberg teaches a system for tracking objects that includes a remote tracking center that is located remotely from a local tracking computer that remotely communicates with the local computer for tracking information (Column 3, lines 28 – 35; Column 5, lines 12 – 19).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Shniberg's teaching to include a remote tracking center in Maloney's system in order to allow tracking of objects on a wide geographic scale.

Regarding claims 2, 16, and 30, Maloney teaches the system as set forth in claims 1, 15, and 29 wherein the server system permits the asset management system to be accessed based on one or more criteria (Column 22, lines 25 – 34).

Regarding claims 3, 17, and 31, Maloney teaches the system as set forth in claims 2, 16, and 30 wherein the server system permits the tangible assets to be removed from the stations or replaced to the stations based on the one or more criteria (Column 22, lines 25 - 34).

Regarding claims 4, 18, and 32, Maloney teaches the system as set forth in claims 2, 16, and 30.

Maloney does not explicitly indicate a remote system that provides the one or more criteria to the server system.

Shniberg teaches a system for tracking objects that includes a remote system that provides the one or more criteria to the server system (Column 3, lines 28 - 35; Column 5, lines 12 - 19).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Shniberg's teaching to include a remote tracking center in Maloney's system in order to allow tracking of objects on a wide geographic scale.

Regarding claims 5, 19, and 33, Maloney teaches the system as set forth in claims 2, 16, and 30 wherein the one or more criteria comprises a user ID, a user password, and a user security access level (Column 22, lines 25 – 34).

Regarding claims 6, 20, and 34, Maloney teaches the system as set forth in claims 1, 15, and 29.

Maloney does not explicitly indicate wherein the server system provides a remote system with the stored information regarding the transactions with the stations.

Shniberg teaches a system for tracking objects that provides a remote system with the stored information regarding the transactions with the stations. (Column 3, lines 28 - 35; Column 5, lines 12 - 19).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Shniberg's teaching to include a remote tracking center in Maloney's system in order to allow tracking of objects on a wide geographic scale.

Regarding claim 7, 21, and 35, Maloney teaches the system as set forth in claims 1, 15, and 29 wherein the server system stores information describing the asset management system, the information comprising at least one of an identity, a location and an installation date of the asset management system (Column 22, lines 25 - 34).

Regarding claims 8, 22, and 36, Maloney teaches the system as set forth in claims 1, 15, and 29 wherein the stored transaction information comprises at least one of a location of one or more of the stations where one or more of the tangible assets were removed from or replaced to, an identity of the stations where the tangible assets were removed from or replaced to, a date or time the tangible assets were removed, an identifier for each of the removed the tangible assets, and an identity of one or more users that removed the tangible assets (Column 23, lines 57 – 65).

Regarding claims 9, 23, and 37, Maloney teaches the system as set forth in claims 1, 15, and 29 wherein the server system stores alarm information describing one or more alarm conditions to be satisfied to trigger an alarm of the asset management system (Column 7, lines 12 - 17).

Regarding claims 10, 24, and 38, Maloney teaches the system as set forth in claims 9, 23, and 37 wherein the server system sounds the alarm of at least one of the asset management system and a remote system upon determining that the one or more alarm conditions have been satisfied (Column 7, lines 12 – 17).

Regarding claims 11, 25, and 39, Maloney teaches the system as set forth in claims 1, 15, and 29 wherein the server system provides a remote system with one or more graphical user interfaces for accepting data used by the server system to perform

at least one of permitting the asset management system to be accessed, permitting the tangible assets to be removed from the stations, permitting the tangible assets to be replaced to the stations, setting alarm conditions, and storing information that describes the asset management system (Column 23, line 60 – Column 24, line 2).

Regarding claims 12, 26, and 40, Maloney teaches the system as set forth in claims 1, 15, and 29 further comprising a user input interface that receives user identification information associated with a request to access the asset management system (Column 23, line 60 – Column 2, line 2).

Regarding claim 13, 27, and 41, Maloney teaches the system as set forth in claims 12, 26, and 40 wherein the user input interface further comprises an access control card reader, the requester identification information being stored on an access card that is coupled to the access control card reader (Column 22, line 1 - 3).

Regarding claim 14, 28, and 42, Maloney teaches the system as set forth in claims 13, 27, and 41 wherein the server system converts the requestor identification information from a first format to a second format (Column 22, lines 25 – 34, where the first format is data on an ID card and the second is digitally stored for user id/password check).

Regarding claims 46-48, Maloney teaches the system as set forth in claim 1.

Maloney does not explicitly indicate wherein the one or more stations further comprises a plurality of the stations with each of the stations having a housing containing an asset control system for receiving the tangible asset and the server system.

Shniberg teaches a system for tracking objects that includes a remote tracking center that is located remotely from a local tracking computer that remotely communicates with the local computer for tracking information and that there are a plurality of tracking computers monitored (Column 3, lines 28 – 35; Column 5, lines 12 – 19).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Shniberg's teaching to include a remote tracking center in Maloney's system in order to allow tracking of objects on a wide geographic scale.

Claims 43-45 and 49-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maloney in view of Shniberg, and in further view of Blad (6675067).

Regarding claims 43-45, Maloney teaches the claims 1, 15, and 29.

Maloney does not explicitly indicate wherein the server system in at least one of the stations monitors one or more environmental conditions acting on the tangible asset and stores information regarding the monitored environmental conditions which can be accessed remotely via the communication medium.

Blad teachies a server system that remotely monitors local computers with tangible assets (Column 5, lines 59 - 67; Column 6, lines 20 - 22, where the tangible assets are the soda and cigarettes in the machine) that monitors environmental conditions of those monitored machines acting on the tangible assets (Column 6, line 65

Application/Control Number: 10/644,383 Page 8

Art Unit: 2153

- Column 7, line 7; where environmental conditions include temperature, product stock, machine faults, etc.).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Blad's teaching in Maloney to include monitor machines such as soda machines to determine the presence and status of the tangible assets for sale.

Regarding claims 49-51, Maloney teaches the system as set forth in claim 43.

Maloney does not explicitly indicate wherein the one or more monitored environmental conditions comprises temperature.

Blad teachies a server system that remotely monitors local computers with tangible assets (Column 5, lines 59 - 67; Column 6, lines 20 - 22, where the tangible assets are the soda and cigarettes in the machine) that monitors environmental conditions of those monitored machines acting on the tangible assets (Column 6, line 65 - Column 7, line 7; where environmental conditions include temperature, product stock, machine faults, etc.).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Blad's teaching in Maloney to include monitor machines such as soda machines to determine the presence and status of the tangible assets for sale.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed July 12, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that Maloney does not indicate that the remote server taught in Maloney is "remote" and cannot be considered the station that receives the tangible assets as recited in the claim. The examiner disagrees, in Figure 3, element 50 and Column 10, line 29 – Column 11, line 21, the remote computer is defined as connected to the box that receives tangible assets though a parallel wire connection (Column 10, lines 32 – 48), this is shows that the computer is remote in terms of being available over a wide area. In order to be connected to the box, there is only a small distances that a parallel port can be connected between a peripheral and the "remote" station. In Maloney the remote controller is located locally with the asset box and must be accessed locally though the keyboard interface to gain access to the assets, so this reads on the claims as described.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KEVIN BATES whose telephone number is (571)272-3980. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glen Burgess can be reached on (571) 272-3949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/644,383 Page 10

Art Unit: 2153

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kevin Bates/ Examiner, Art Unit 2153